Office Action Summary		ication No.	Applicant(s)
		81,521	ZANELLA, GUY
		niner	Art Unit
		OB T. MINSKEY	4151
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH  - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	E MAILING DATE O sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. Im statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause the other the mailing date of	F THIS COMMUNICATIO no event, however, may a reply be ti and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to solve are subject to resolve are subject to resolve are subject to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) includes	5 is/are withdrawn from the striction and/or election to the drawing accepted objection to the drawing in the strawing objection to the drawing in the strawing in the strawing objection to the drawing in the strawing in t	ion requirement. or b)⊡ objected to by the g(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviol Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviol Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/02/2006.		4) N Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate. <u>Here with</u> .

Art Unit: 4151

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to process of making molded part using a composite sandwich.

Group II, claim(s) 4, drawn to the molded part.

Group III, claim(s) 5, drawn to composite sandwich.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature is the composite sandwich. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. US Patent 5,186,999 teaches the use of a composite sandwich with at least one core, with at least one composite skin that is reinforced, and that contains at least one expansion agent in the core or skin.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with the Margaret Millikin on 8/28/2008 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-3. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-5 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 4151

### Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has two paragraphs. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brambach, USP 5,186,999.
- 7. Regarding claim 1, Brambach teaches:

Process for manufacturing a moulded part (sheet-like material, column 1 line 12), in which the moulded part is obtained by moulding at least one composite sandwich (core material sandwich, column 1 lines 58-63), the said sandwich incorporating an expansion agent that reacts during moulding (expandable material, column 3 lines 36-39).

- 8. Regarding claim 2, Brambach remains as applied in claim 1 and further teaches that the expansion agent is incorporated into the core of the sandwich (column 3 line 43).
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Brambach remains as applied in claim 1 and further teaches that the expansion agent is applied to the core and/or its skin (column 3 line 43).

Art Unit: 4151

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 11. USP 4,121,008 to Seddon teaches the making and composition of foamed sandwich composites.
- 12. USP 5,851,336 to Cundiff et al teaches the molding of honeycomb sandwich cores for the construction of aircraft components.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACOB T. MINSKEY whose telephone number is (571)270-7003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Ortiz can be reached on 571-272-1206. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JTM

/Angela Ortiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4151